

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/634,662

Applicant(s)

CHANG ET AL.

Examiner

Kelly Stouffer

Art Unit

1762

All Participants:(1) Kelly Stouffer.(2) John-Paul Cherry.**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 April 2007**Time:** AM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 102(e) rejection regarding claims 1 and 27 in the Final office action

Claims discussed:

1, 27 and their dependants

Prior art documents discussed:

*Kim (US 2002/0173054) and Kawano et al. (US 6605735)***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant was notified that Kawano et al. would disclose the subject matter of claims 1 and 27 as they were presented in the after-final amendment of 17 April 2007. The examiner offered to either reopen prosecution or do an examiner's amendment, as there was allowable subject matter present in some dependant claims and other independent claims as previously indicated. Applicant chose an examiner's amendment and submitted amended claims to the examiner where claim 1 included limitations of claims 13 and 53-54 (with 54 including allowable subject matter) and claim 27 including claims 62-63 (63 having allowable subject matter) and cancelling claims 13, 53-54 and 62-63..